

**STATE OF ILLINOIS
SECRETARY OF STATE
SECURITIES DEPARTMENT**

IN THE MATTER OF: BRUCE E. CARLSON

FILE NO. 0400068

SUMMARY ORDER OF DENIAL

TO THE RESPONDENT: Bruce E. Carlson
(CRD #: 1043071)
16 Hill Farm Circle
Saint Paul, Minnesota 55127

c/o The (Wilson) Williams Financial Group
12221 Merit Drive, Suite 300
Dallas, Texas 75251

WHEREAS, on January 30, 2004, The (Wilson) Williams Financial Group, a registered dealer, filed a Form U-4 application for registration of Bruce E. Carlson (the "Respondent") as a salesperson in the State of Illinois;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the authority granted under Section 11.F of the Illinois Securities Law of 1953 [815 ILCS 5] (the "Act"), the Secretary of State has determined that the Respondent's application for registration as a salesperson in the State of Illinois is subject to a Summary Order of Denial;

WHEREAS, the Secretary of State finds that the grounds for such Summary Order of Denial are as follows:

1. That on November 25, 2002 an Exchange Hearing Panel of the New York Stock Exchange Inc. (NYSE) accepted a Stipulation of Facts and Consent to Penalty entered into between the Exchange's Division of Enforcement and the Respondent (Decision) in File No. 02-233 which imposed the following sanctions:
 - a. censure; and

Summary Order of Denial

- 2 -

- b. barred for a period of four months from membership, allied membership, approved person status, and from employment or association in any capacity with any member or member organization.
2. That the Decision, by unanimous vote of the Hearing Panel, found:
 - a. During October through December 1999 (the "Relevant Period"), on one or more occasions, the Respondent violated Exchange Rule 472(a) by posting communications concerning securities on Internet message boards without the knowledge and approval of his member firm employer. He also engaged in conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade by posting on such Internet message boards, without the knowledge or approval of his member firm employer, communications containing speculative statements concerning securities which could reasonably be expected to affect investor interest at a time when he and/or his customers held an interest in such securities. In addition, he exercised discretion with oral but not written authorization in customer accounts in violation of Exchange Rule 408(a).
 - b. Exchange Rule 472(a) provides that "Each advertisement, market letter, sales literature, or other similar type of communication which is generally distributed or made available by a member or member organization to customers or the public shall be approved in advance by a member, allied member, supervisory analyst or qualified person designated under [Exchange rules]." Rule 472.10 defines "communications" as including, among other things, "electronic communications ... which are shown or distributed to customers or the public."
 - c. During the Relevant Period, the Respondent posted at least eight messages from his home computer concerning a number of stocks on Internet message boards maintained by a web site. The messages posted by him related to various water utility companies in which he and his customers owned stock, companies hereinafter referred to as "XYZ," "WW," "RST," "OPQ," "LMN," "IJK," "FGH," and "CDE" (collectively hereinafter referred to as the "Companies"). The stocks of each of the Companies traded on NYSE, AMEX or NASDAQ during the Relevant Period.
 - d. For each of the postings, the Respondent used one of two user names. In certain postings, he made speculative statements regarding one or more of the Companies. He did not identify himself

Summary Order of Denial

- 3 -

as an employee of the Firm in the postings, nor did he refer to the Firm by name.

e. The Respondent's postings included:

MESSAGE TEXT

- 10/22/99 6:37 p.m. You seem to have a good inside line on this industry... any clue when the buyout number on XYZ is coming?
- 10/22/99 8:37 p.m. my gut tells me that [UVW] is next to go after [XYZ]
- 10/24/99 1:40 a.m. But, take notice and look to the West (not east for you travelers) **the Waters of [XYZ] and [UVW] and [CDE] look mighty fine ...** For an encore we shall go back to the east coast! [sic] ahh but that is for another thirsty day ... meanwhile the rest of the market gets chewed up but hey, H2) stocks are way too boring for the masters of the universe
- 11/2/99 9:40 p.m. My sentiments exactly and I am putting my money from [XYZ] in [UVW] ... **After [UVW] my guess as to the next one is [RST] or [OPQ] do you concur?**
- 12/10/99 5:38 p.m. Big volume ...its definitely a follow through of the double top breakout on the point and figure chart.. but ~~then~~ the chart is just a picture of the underlying fundamentals... and I **think the fundamentals point to a buyout???**
- 12/10/99 Its interesting to note that [reference omitted] has been plummeting for weeks ... the point and figure chart on [reference omitted] has been big time ugly... I don't think they have the cash and certainly not the stock "currency" to swallow [UVW] ... **leaves [FGH]? ...** Can't wait for Monday A.M. opening! ! ! Another thought I had is that Barrons may be running another piece. ... Also of note Bloomberg ran an interview a week or so ago with an analyst ... if I recall right ... anyhow ... **she said [UVW] and or [LMN] were next.** Did you see that [LMN] is now up to 20% ownership by [a conglomerate] and the only way they can buy more is to take it all out... **[IJK] is going to run huge time if it breaks 35HUL**

Summary Order of Denial

- 4 -

- f. The Respondent's statements quoted above were speculative and could reasonably be expected to affect investor interest in the Companies
 - g. During the Relevant Period, the Respondent and many of his customers held or traded shares of the Companies described in the postings made by him.
 - h. By virtue of the foregoing, the Respondent violated EXCHANGE RULE 472 (a) BY POSTING INTERNET COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING securities WITHOUT FIRM KNOWLEDGE POSTED SPECULATIVE STATEMENTS CONCERNING SECURITIES WHICH COULD AFFECT INVESTOR INTEREST WHILE HE AND CUSTOMERS HELD AN INTEREST IN SUCH SECURITIES.
 - i. On or about October 29, 1999, XYZ and FGH jointly announced an agreement for FGH to acquire XYZ. After the announcement, the Respondent entered a block order to sell 15,743 shares of XYZ on behalf of more than one hundred of his customer accounts, as well as his personal account (the "XYZ Order").
 - j. At the time the Respondent entered the XYZ Order, he had obtained oral but not written authorization from many of these customers to sell their shares of XYZ at a quantity, time and price of his choosing. Accordingly, he exercised discretion to sell XYZ without written authorization for some of these customer accounts, in violation of Rule 408(a).
3. That Section 8.E(1)(j) of the Act provides, inter alia, that the registration of a salesperson may be denied if the Secretary of State finds that such salesperson has been suspended by any self-regulatory organization registered under the Federal 1934 Act or the Federal 1974 Act arising from any fraudulent or deceptive act or a practice in violation of any rule, regulation or standard duly promulgated by the self-regulatory organization.
4. That the NYSE is a self-regulatory organization as specified in Section 8.E(1)(j) of the Act.

Summary Order of Denial

- 5 -

5. That by virtue of the foregoing, the Respondent's registration as a salesperson in the State of Illinois is subject to denial pursuant to Section 8.E(1)(j) of the Act.

NOW IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

The Respondent's application for registration as a salesperson in the State of Illinois is DENIED, subject to the further Order of the Secretary of State.

A public hearing will be set within thirty (30) days of the Respondent's filing a written request for hearing with the Secretary of State at 17 North State Street, Suite 1266, Chicago, Illinois 60602. Said hearing will be held at the aforesaid address before a Hearing Officer duly designated by the Secretary of State. A copy of the Rules under the Act pertaining to contested cases is attached to this Order.

YOUR FAILURE TO REQUEST A HEARING WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER RECEIPT OF THIS ORDER SHALL CONSTITUTE AN ADMISSION OF ANY FACTS ALLEGED HEREIN AND SHALL CONSTITUTE A SUFFICIENT BASIS TO MAKE THIS ORDER FINAL.

You are further notified that if you request a hearing that you may be represented by legal counsel, may present evidence; may cross-examine witnesses and otherwise participate. Failure to so appear shall constitute default, unless any Respondent has upon due notice moved for and obtained a continuance.

Delivery of this Order or any subsequent notice to the designated representative of any Respondent constitutes service upon such Respondent.

DATED: This 18th day of March 2004.



JESSE WHITE
Secretary of State
State of Illinois

Summary Order of Denial

- 6 -

Attorney for the Secretary of State:
Daniel Tunick
Office of the Secretary of State
Illinois Securities Department
17 North State Street, Suite 1266
Chicago, Illinois 60602
(312) 793-3384